arXiv:alg-geom/9608003v1 2 Aug 1996

A RELATION BETWEEN STANDARD CONJECTURES AND THEIR ARITHMETIC ANALOGUES

YUICHIRO TAKEDA

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n defined over an algebraically closed field k. We denote by $A^p(X)$ the vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ consisting of algebraic cycles of codimension p modulo homological equivalence. In [7] Grothendieck conjectured that $A^p(X)$ behaves like complex cohomology.

Conjecture 1.1 (Standard conjectures)**.** *Let H be an ample line bundle on X and*

$$L_H : A^p(X) \to A^{p+1}(X)$$

the homomorphism intersecting with the first Chern class $c_1(H)$. Then for $p \leq \frac{n}{2}$, we have the followings:
$\mathbf{A_p(X, H)}$*: $L_H^{n-2p} : A^p(X) \to A^{n-p}(X)$ is an isomorphism.*
$\mathbf{H_p(X, H)}$*: For $0 \neq x \in A^p(X)$ such that $L_H^{n+1-2p}(x) = 0$, $(-1)^p \deg(L_H^{n-2p}(x)x)$ is positive.*

$\mathbf{A_p(X, H)}$ is called the hard Lefschetz conjecture and $\mathbf{H_p(X, H)}$ is called the Hodge index conjecture. When the characteristic of k is zero, the Hodge index conjecture is already proved.

On the other hand, for an arithmetic variety the intersection theory of cycles was established by Arakelov [1] for surfaces and Gillet and Soulé [5] for higher dimensional varieties. It is quite natural to ask whether analogues of standard conjectures hold in this situation. We now explain this.

Let X be a regular scheme which is projective and flat over $\mathbb{Z}$. We assume that the generic fiber $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Q}$. Such a scheme is called an arithmetic variety. For an arithmetic variety X the arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{CH}^p(X)$ is defined and the intersection product on

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14G40.

$\widehat{CH}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is established in [5]. We denote by F_∞ the complex conjugation on the complex manifold $X(\mathbb{C})$ associated with the scheme $X \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}$. A line bundle H on X togather with an F_∞-invariant smooth hermitian metric $\| \ \|$ on the pull back $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $X(\mathbb{C})$ is called a hermitian line bundle. For a hermitian line bundle $(H, \| \ \|)$ on X, the arithmetic first Chern class $\hat{c}_1(H, \| \ \|) \in \widehat{CH}^1(X)$ is defined. By intersecting with this class we obtain a homomorphism

$$L_{H,\| \ \|} : \widehat{CH}^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \widehat{CH}^{p+1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

In [6] Gillet and Soulé proposed the following conjectures:

Conjecture 1.2 (Arithmetic analogues of standard conjectures)**.** *Let n be the relative dimension of X over $\mathbb{Z}$ and H an ample line bundle on X. Then there exists an F_∞-invariant hermitian metric $\| \ \|$ on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the followings for $2p \leq n+1$:*

$\mathbf{A_p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{H}, \| \ \|)$*:* $L^{n+1-2p}_{H,\| \ \|} : \widehat{CH}^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \widehat{CH}^{n+1-p}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ *is an isomorphism.*

$\mathbf{H_p}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{H}, \| \ \|)$*: For* $0 \neq x \in \widehat{CH}^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ *such that* $L^{n+2-2p}_{H,\| \ \|}(x) = 0$*,* $(-1)^p \widehat{\deg}(L^{n+1-2p}_{H,\| \ \|}(x)x)$ *is positive.*

When $n = 1$, Conjecture 1.2 is derived from the Hodge index theorem for arithmetic surfaces by Faltings [4] and Hriljac [8]. This was extended to higher dimensional varieties by Moriwaki [10]. He proved $\mathbf{H_1}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{H}, \| \ \|)$ for any arithmetically ample hermitian line bundle $(H, \| \ \|)$ on an arithmetic variety X. In [9] Künnemann showed that the conjectures are deduced from the similar conjectures for Arakelov Chow groups and proved them for projective spaces.

The aim of this paper is to resolve Conjecture 1.2 into other well-known conjectures including original standard conjectures. In [4, 8] for an arithmetic surface X $\mathbf{H_1}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{H}, \| \ \|)$ is proved by the positivity of Néron-Tate height pairing of the Jacobian of X and by some arguments on the intersection of cycles of X whose supports do not meet the generic fiber $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$. In other words, our main results are generalisations of their methods to higher dimensional varieties. As a consequence, all results as mentioned above are obtained, independent of the notion of the arithmetic ampleness.

2. Statements of the main results

We first recall some basic facts of Arakelov intersection theory. Throughout the paper, n is the relative dimension of an arithmetic variety X over $\mathbb{Z}$. For an arithmetic variety X, we put

$$Z^{p,p}(X) = \{\omega; \text{real closed } (p,p)\text{-form on } X(\mathbb{C}) \text{ with } F_\infty^*\omega = (-1)^p\omega\},$$
$$H^{p,p}(X) = \{c \in H^{p,p}(X(\mathbb{C})); c \text{ is real with } F_\infty^* c = (-1)^p c\}.$$

Then we have an exact sequence

$$CH^{p-1,p}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\rho} H^{p-1,p-1}(X) \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{CH}^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{(\zeta,\omega)}$$
$$CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus Z^{p,p}(X) \xrightarrow{cl-h} H^{p,p}(X) \to 0,$$

where the definitions of $CH^{p,p-1}, a, \zeta$ and ω are seen in [5, 3.3]. The map $cl : CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^{p,p}(X)$ is the cycle class map and h is the canonical projection map. The map $\rho : CH^{p-1,p}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^{p-1,p-1}(X)$ is the regulator map up to constant factor by [5, Theorem 3.5].

We fix a smooth F_∞-invariant Kähler metric h on $X(\mathbb{C})$. The pair $\overline{X} = (X, h)$ is called an Arakelov variety. By identifying an element of $H^{p,p}(X)$ with a harmonic (p,p)-form with respect to h, we can regard $H^{p,p}(X)$ as a subspace of $Z^{p,p}(X)$. We put $CH^p(\overline{X}) = \omega^{-1}(H^{p,p}(X))$ and call it Arakelov Chow group.

Assumption 1 . *For $p \geq 0$, the vector space $H^{p,p}(X)$ is spanned by images of ρ and cl, that is,*

$$H^{p,p}(X) = \operatorname{Im}\rho \oplus \operatorname{Im} cl.$$

This is a part of Beilinson conjectures. If Assumption 1 holds for X and for $p-1$, by the definition of the Arakelov Chow group we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$CH^{p-1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{a\cdot cl} CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\zeta} CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to 0.$$

Let $(H, \|\ \|)$ be a hermitian line bundle on X. Suppose that H is ample and that $\|\ \|$ is a positive metric. Then the first Chern form of $(H, \|\ \|)$ determines an F_∞-invariant Kähler metric h on $X(\mathbb{C})$. Since the product with the Kähler form respects harmonicity of forms, for the Arakelov variety $\overline{X} = (X, h)$ we can define a homomorphism

$$L_{H,\|\ \|} : CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \to CH^{p+1}(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Conjecture 2.1. *For an ample line bundle H on X, there exists a positive F_∞- invariant hermitian metric $\| \ \|$ on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying the followings for $2p \leq n+1$:*
$\mathbf{AA_p(X, H, \| \ \|)}$*:* $L_{H,\| \ \|}^{n+1-2p} : CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \to CH^{n+1-p}(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ *is an isomorphism.*
$\mathbf{AH_p(X, H, \| \ \|)}$*: For* $0 \neq x \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ *such that* $L_{H,\| \ \|}^{n+2-2p}(x) = 0$, $(-1)^p \widehat{\deg}(L_{H,\| \ \|}^{n+1-2p}(x)x)$ *is positive.*

Theorem 2.1. $\mathbf{AA_p(X, H, \| \ \|)}$ *implies* $\mathbf{A_p(X, H, \| \ \|)}$*.* $\mathbf{AH_p(X, H, \| \ \|)}$ *implies* $\mathbf{H_p(X, H, \| \ \|)}$*.*

Theorem 2.1 was proved by Künnemann in [9]. He also proved Conjecture 2.1 for projective spaces. Recently the author proved Conjecture 2.1 for regular quadric hypersurfaces in [11].

From now on, every arithmetic variety X is assumed to be irreducible. Then X is defined over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ of an algebraic number field K and the generic fiber X_K is geometrically irreducible. The cycle class map $cl : CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^{p,p}(X)$ factors through the Chow group of the generic fiber and the restriction map $CH^p(X) \to CH^p(X_K)$ is surjective. Hence the image of cl coincides with the image of $CH^p(X_K)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We denote it by $A^p(X_K)$. Then the preceding exact sequence yields

$$0 \to A^{p-1}(X_K) \to CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\zeta} CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to 0.$$

For an ample line bundle H on X, we can consider the hard Lefschetz conjecture and the Hodge index conjecture for $A^p(X_K)$ although X_K is not defined over an algebraically closed field. We denote them by $\mathbf{A_p(X_K, H_K)}$ and $\mathbf{H_p(X_K, H_K)}$ respectively. For an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K, standard conjectures for $(X_{\overline{K}}, H_{\overline{K}})$ imply these for (X_K, H_K). In particular, $\mathbf{H_p(X_K, H_K)}$ is true.

We put

$$CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X) = \text{Ker}(CH^p(X) \to CH^p(X_K)).$$

For an ample line bundle H on X, we can define a homomorphism

$$L_H : CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to CH^{p+1}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

by intersecting with the first Chern class $c_1(H)$. For $x \in CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ we denote by $\tilde{x} \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ a lifting of x. Then we define a pairing

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle : CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^{n+1-p}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

by $\langle x, y \rangle = \widehat{\deg}(\tilde{x}\tilde{y})$. This definition is independent of the choice of liftings. Here we propose the following conjectures:

Conjecture 2.2.
$\mathbf{FA_p(X,H)}$: *$L_H^{n+1-2p} : CH^p_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to CH^{n+1-p}_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isomorphism.*
$\mathbf{FH_p(X,H)}$: *For $0 \neq x \in CH^p_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $L_H^{n+2-2p}(x) = 0$, $(-1)^p \langle L_H^{n+1-2p}(x), x\rangle$ is positive.*

We now construct the height pairing by means of Arakelov intersection theory. We put

$$CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{Ker}(cl : CH^p(X_K)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^{p,p}(X)).$$

We need the following hypothesis.

Assumption 2 . *For any $x \in CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$, there exists a lifting $\tilde{x} \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\tilde{x}$ is orthogonal to every vertical cycles. That is to say, it holds that*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\tilde{x} \cdot (C, 0)) = 0$$

for any cycle C of codimension $n+1-p$ whose support does not meet the generic fiber X_K.

If $CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $CH^{n+1-p}(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ admit the above assumption, then we can define a pairing

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^{n+1-p}(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

by $\langle x, y\rangle = \widehat{\deg}(\tilde{x}\tilde{y})$, where $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ are liftings which satisfy the above assumption. This definition is independent of the choice of the liftings.

Suppose that the pairing

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : CH^p_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^{n+1-p}_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

is nondegenerate. For a lifting $\tilde{x} \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $x \in CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$, the homomorphism

$$CH^{n+1-p}_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R},\ \curvearrowright \mapsto \widehat{\deg}(\tilde{\curvearrowleft}\tilde{\curvearrowright})$$

is determined independently of the choice of the lifting $\tilde{y}$. Because of the nondegeneracy of the pairing there exists a unique $z \in CH^p_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$\widehat{\deg}(\tilde{x}\tilde{y}) = \langle z, y\rangle$$

for any $y \in CH^{n+1-p}_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then for a lifting $\tilde{z}$, $\tilde{x} - \tilde{z}$ is a lifting of $x \in CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ which satisfies Assumption 2. In the same way we can show that $CH^{n+1-p}(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ also admits Assumption 2 and we can define the height pairing. In particular, if $\mathbf{FA_k(X,H)}$ and $\mathbf{FH_k(X,H)}$ hold for $k \leq p$, then Assumption 2 holds for p and $n+1-p$.

Remark 1: We assume that the pairing

$$\langle L_H^{n+1-2p}\ \ ,\ \rangle : CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

is nondegenerate. Then we can say that $CH^{n+1-p}(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ admits Assumption 2 and that there exists a lifting $\tilde{x} \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ of arbitrary $x \in CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $L_{H,\|\ \|}^{n+1-2p}(\tilde{x})$ is orthogonal to any vertical cycles. Therefore we can define the pairing

$$\langle L_{H_K}^{n+1-2p}\ \ ,\ \rangle : CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

although $CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ may not admit Assumption 2.

For the height pairing for X, Beilinson conjectured an analogues of standard conjectures in [2, §5].

Conjecture 2.3. *For $2p \leq n+1$, we assume either Assumption 2 for p and $n+1-p$ or nondegeneracy of the pairing of $CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Remark 1. Then we have the followings:*

$\mathbf{HA_p(X,H)}$*:* $L_{H_K}^{n+1-2p} : CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}} \to CH^{n+1-p}(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ *is an isomorphism.*

$\mathbf{HH_p(X,H)}$*: For $0 \neq x \in CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $L_{H_K}^{n+2-2p}(x) = 0$, $(-1)^p \langle L_{H_K}^{n+1-2p}(x), x\rangle$ is positive.*

Remark 2: We now choose another positive F_∞-invariant hermitian metric $\|\ \|'$ on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there exists an F_∞-invariant positive real valued function f on $X(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|\ \|' = f\|\ \|$. We denote the Kähler metric associated with $\|\ \|'$ by h' and let $\overline{X}' = (X, h')$. For $x \in CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we choose a lifting $\tilde{x} \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ of x. Since $\omega(\tilde{x}) = 0$, $\tilde{x}$ is also contained in the Arakelov Chow group $CH^p(\overline{X}')_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\overline{X}'$. Hence the definition of pairing of $CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ does not depend on the choice of positive metrics on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. In the same way it can be shown that the definition of the pairing of $CH^p(X)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ and Assumption 2 do not also depend on the choice of metrics. Moreover since

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\deg}(\hat{c}_1(H,\|\ \|')^{n+1-2p}\tilde{x}^2) &= \widehat{\deg}((\hat{c}_1(H,\|\ \|) - 2a(\log f))^{n+1-2p}\tilde{x}^2)\\ &= \widehat{\deg}(\hat{c}_1(H,\|\ \|)^{n+1-2p}\tilde{x}^2 - 2(n+1-2p)a(\log f c_1(H,\|\ \|)^{n-2p}\omega(\tilde{x})^2))\\ &= \widehat{\deg}(\hat{c}_1(H,\|\ \|)^{n+1-2p}\tilde{x}^2),\end{aligned}$$

the conjectures $\mathbf{FH_p(X,H)}$ and $\mathbf{HH_p(X,H)}$ do not depend on the choice of metrics.

Here we state our main theorem.

Main Theorem . *Let X be an arithmetic variety defined over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of an algebraic number field K. Suppose that the generic fiber X_K is geometrically irreducible. Let H be an ample line bundle on X. Given a positive F_∞-invariant hermitian metric $\| \ \|$ on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$, we define a metric $\| \ \|_\sigma$ by $\| \ \|_\sigma = \exp(\sigma)\| \ \|$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.*
i) We assume Assumption 1 for $p-1$ and $n-p$. Then $\mathbf{FA_p(X,H)}$, $\mathbf{HA_p(X,H)}$, $\mathbf{A_p(X_K,H_K)}$ and $\mathbf{A_{p-1}(X_K,H_K)}$ imply $\mathbf{A_p}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{H},\| \ \|_\sigma)$ for almost all σ.
ii) We assume Assumption 1 for $p-1$. We suppose that the pairings

$$\langle L_H^{n+1-2p} \quad , \ \rangle : CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle : CH^{p-1}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^{n+2-p}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

are nondegenerate. Then $\mathbf{FH_p(X,H)}$, $\mathbf{HH_p(X,H)}$ and $\mathbf{A_{p-1}(X_K,H_K)}$ imply
$\mathbf{H_p}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{H},\| \ \|_\sigma)$ for $0 \ll -\sigma$.

After arithmetic ampleness of a hermitian line bundle was defined in [12], a finer version of Conjecture 1.2 is proposed. This says that for arithmetically ample hermitian line bundle $(H, \| \ \|)$ on X, $\mathbf{A_p}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{H},\| \ \|)$ and $\mathbf{H_p}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{H},\| \ \|)$ hold. For arbitrary hermitian line bundle $(H, \| \ \|)$ which satisfies the conditions in Main Theorem and for $0 \ll -\sigma$, the hermitian line bundle $(H, \| \ \|_\sigma)$ becomes arithmetically ample. But it is difficult to compare the upper bound of σ such that $(H, \| \ \|_\sigma)$ is arithmetically ample with that for which ii) of Main Theorem holds.

Corollary 2.2. *If X is a Grassmannian or a projective smooth toric scheme or a generalized flag scheme, which is a quotient scheme of a split reductive group scheme by a Borel subgroup, defined over a ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. Then Conjecture 1.2 holds for any ample line bundle H with a positive metric $\| \ \|$ and for $0 \ll -\sigma$.*

Proof: Since X can be stratified into finite pieces isomorphic to affine spaces over $\mathcal{O}_K$, Assumption 1 holds. Since $CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $CH^p(X_K)^0_{\mathbb{R}}$ vanish for all p, all assumptions about these are vacuous. For an embedding $\tau : K \to \mathbb{C}$, we denote by X_τ the complex manifold associated with the scheme $X \underset{\tau}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}$. Then the cycle class map $cl : CH^p(X_K)_{\mathbb{R}} \to H^{p,p}(X_\tau)$ is bijective. So the standard conjectures for X_K are valid. Hence Main Theorem implies Conjecture 2.2. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be an arithmetic variety. Then the Hodge index conjecture* $\mathbf{H_1(X, H}, \|\ \|_\sigma)$ *of codimension one holds for any ample line bundle H with a positive metric $\|\ \|$ and for $0 \ll -\sigma$.*

Proof: We have only to verify the conditions in Main Theorem for $p = 1$. We denote by Σ_K the set of all infinite places of K. Then $H^{0,0}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma_K} \mathbb{R}$ and $CH^{0,1}(X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\times}$. Hence by Dirichlet unit theorem Assumption 1 for $p = 0$ holds. Nondegeneracy of the pairing $\langle L_H^{n-1}\ \ ,\ \rangle$ on $CH^1_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and negativity of $\langle L_H^{n-1}x, x\rangle$ for $0 \neq x \in CH^1_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ have been already proved in [10, Lemma 1.3]. Since $CH^0(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}$ and $CH^{n+1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$, we have $CH^0_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = CH^{n+1}_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$. $\mathbf{HH_1(X, H)}$ holds by the positivity of Néron-Tate height pairing and $\mathbf{A_0(X_K, H_K)}$ is trivial. Hence the proof has completed. □

3. Proof of the main theorem

By Theorem 2.1 we have only to prove $\mathbf{AA_p(X, H}, \|\ \|_\sigma)$ and $\mathbf{AH_p(X, H}, \|\ \|_\sigma)$. We begin by the proof of i). Since $\mathbf{FA_p(X, H)}$, $\mathbf{HA_p(X, H)}$ and $\mathbf{A_{p-1}(X_K, H_K)}$ hold,

$$L_H^{n+1-2p} : CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to CH^{n+1-p}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$$

is surjective and its kernel is isomorphic to

$$A^p_{\mathrm{prim}}(X_K) = \mathrm{Ker}(L_{H_K}^{n+1-2p} : A^p(X_K) \to A^{n+1-p}(X_K)).$$

We put

$$A^{n-p}_{\mathrm{coprim}}(X_K) = \mathrm{Cok}(L_{H_K}^{n+1-2p} : A^{p-1}(X_K) \to A^{n-p}(X_K))$$

and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & & A^p_{\mathrm{prim}}(X_K) \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A^{p-1}(X_K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "L_{H_K}^{n+1-2p}"] & CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "L_{H,\|\ \|_\sigma}^{n+1-2p}"] & CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "L_H^{n+1-2p}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A^{n-p}(X_K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & CH^{n+1-p}(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] & CH^{n+1-p}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & A^{n-p}_{\mathrm{coprim}}(X_K) & & 0. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

To show that $L_{H,\|\ \|_\sigma}^{n+1-2p}$ is bijective, we have only to prove that the edge homomorphism of the above diagram is an isomorphism. Given $x \in$

$A^p_{\mathrm{prim}}(X_K)$, we choose a lifting $\tilde{x} \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ of x. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^{n+1-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(\tilde{x}) &= \hat{c}_1(H, \| \|_\sigma)^{n+1-2p}\tilde{x} \\ &= (\hat{c}_1(H, \| \|) - 2a(\sigma))^{n+1-2p}\tilde{x} \\ &= (\hat{c}_1(H, \| \|)^{n+1-2p} - 2\sigma(n+1-2p)a(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n-2p}))\tilde{x} \\ &= \hat{c}_1(H, \| \|)^{n+1-2p}\tilde{x} - 2\sigma(n+1-2p)a(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n-2p}x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we denote by F_σ the edge homomorphism of the above diagram for σ, then we have

$$F_\sigma = F_0 - 2\sigma(n+1-2p)L_H^{n-2p}.$$

$\mathbf{A_p(X_K, H_K)}$ and $\mathbf{A_{p-1}(X_K, H_K)}$ imply that $L_H^{n-2p} : A^p_{\mathrm{prim}}(X_K) \to A^{n-p}_{\mathrm{coprim}}(X_K)$ is an isomorphism. Hence for all but finitely many σ, F_σ is an isomorphism.

We turn to the proof of ii). Let x be a primitive element in $CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to $L_{H,\| \|}$, that is, $L^{n+2-2p}_{H,\| \|}(x) = 0$ holds. Then for a closed (p,p)-form ω we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^{n+2-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(x + a(\omega)) &= (\hat{c}_1(H, \| \|) - 2a(\sigma))^{n+2-2p}(x + a(\omega)) \\ &= (\hat{c}_1(H, \| \|)^{n+2-2p} - 2\sigma(n+2-2p)a(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+1-2p}))(x + a(\omega)) \\ &= a(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+2-2p}\omega - 2\sigma(n+2-2p)c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+1-2p}\omega(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x + a(\omega) \in CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is primitive with respect to $L_{H,\| \|_\sigma}$ if and only if

$$c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+2-2p}\omega = 2\sigma(n+2-2p)c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+1-2p}\omega(x).$$

Since $c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+2-2p}\omega(x) = 0$, $\mathbf{A_{p-1}(X_K, H_K)}$ implies that $\omega(x)$ is written by

$$\begin{cases} \omega_0(x) + c_1(H, \| \|)\omega_1(x) & \text{if } 2p < n+1 \\ c_1(H, \| \|)\omega_1(x) & \text{if } 2p = n+1, \end{cases}$$

where each $\omega_i(x)$ is contained in $A^{p-i}_{\mathrm{prim}}(X_K)$. If $2p = n+1$, then we set $\omega_0(x) = 0$. By the above equality we have

$$\omega = 2\sigma(n+2-2p)\omega_1(x).$$

Hence we can say that the vector spaces consisting of primitive cycles in $CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to $L_{H,\| \|_\sigma}$ are isomorphic for every $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

We first assume $\omega(x) = 0$. Then the restriction of $\zeta(x) \in CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to the generic fiber X_K is homologically trivial. We denote the restriction of $\zeta(x)$ by x'. Let x_1 be a lifting of x' which is orthogonal to $L_H^{n+1-2p}CH^p_{\mathrm{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $x_0 = x - x_1$. We suppose that x_0 is represented by $(Z, 0)$ and the support of the cycle Z does not meet the generic fiber X_K. We identify x_0 with the cycle $[Z] \in CH^p_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Since $L^{n+2-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(x) = 0$, we have

$$L^{n+2-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(x_1) = -L^{n+2-2p}_{H}(x_0) \in CH^{n+2-p}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Since $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : CH^{p-1}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes CH^{n+2-p}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is nondegenerate and $L^{n+2-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(x_1)$ is orthogonal to $CH^{p-1}_{\text{fin}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, the both sides of the above equality are zero. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^p\widehat{\deg}(L^{n+1-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(x)x) &= (-1)^p\langle L^{n+1-2p}_{H}(x_0), x_0\rangle \\ &\quad + (-1)^p\langle L^{n+1-2p}_{H_K}(x'), x'\rangle\end{aligned}$$

and it is positive by $\mathbf{FH_p(X, H)}$ and $\mathbf{HH_p(X, H)}$.

We next consider when $\omega(x) \neq 0$. Then any primitive cycle y in $CH^p(\overline{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to $L_{H,\| \|_\sigma}$ is written by $x + a(\omega)$ where x is a primitive cycle with respect to $L_{H,\| \|}$ and $\omega = 2\sigma(n + 2 - 2p)\omega_1(x)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}L^{n+1-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(y)y &= (\hat{c}_1(H, \| \|) - 2a(\sigma))^{n+1-2p}(x + a(\omega))^2 \\ &= (\hat{c}_1(H, \| \|)^{n+1-2p} - 2\sigma(n + 1 - 2p)a(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n-2p}))(x^2 + 2a(\omega(x)\omega))).\end{aligned}$$

By substituting the above equality for ω and $\omega(x) = \omega_0(x)+c_1(H, \| \|)\omega_1(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\deg}(L^{n+1-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(y)y) &= \widehat{\deg}(\hat{c}_1(H, \| \|)^{n+1-2p}x^2) - \sigma(n+1-2p)\deg(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n-2p}\omega_0(x)^2) \\ &\quad + \sigma(n + 3 - 2p)\deg(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+2-2p}\omega_1(x)^2).\end{aligned}$$

The Hodge index theorem for (X_K, H_K) implies

$$(-1)^p \deg(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n-2p}\omega_0(x)^2) > 0$$

and

$$(-1)^{p+1} \deg(c_1(H, \| \|)^{n+2-2p}\omega_1(x)^2) > 0$$

if $\omega_i(x) \neq 0$. When $2p < n + 1$, $\omega(x) \neq 0$ implies $\omega_0(x) \neq 0$ or $\omega_1(x) \neq 0$. Hence for $0 \ll -\sigma$, $(-1)^p\widehat{\deg}(L^{n+1-2p}_{H,\| \|_\sigma}(x)x)$ is positive. When $2p = n + 1$, $\omega_0(x) = 0$ and $\omega_1(x) \neq 0$. Hence in this case the same inequality is obtained. □

REFERENCES

[1] S. J. Arakelov, Intersection theory of divisors on an arithmetic surface, *Math. USSR Izv.* **8** (1974), 1167–1180.

[2] A. A. Beilinson, Height pairing between algebraic cycles, in *K-Theory, Arithmetic and Geometry* (ed. Yu. I. Manin), Lect. Note Math. vol.1289, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1987, pp. 1–26.

[3] S. Bloch, Height pairings for algebraic cycles, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **34** (1984), 119–145.

[4] G. Faltings, Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, *Ann. Math.* **119** (1991), 387–424.

[5] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Arithmetic intersection theory, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **72** (1990), 93–174.

[6] ———, Arithmetic analogs of standard conjectures, in *Motives* (eds. U. Jannsen, S. Keiman and J.-P. Serre), Proc. Sym. Pure Math. vol.55 part 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994, pp. 129–140.

[7] A. Grothendieck, Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles, in *Algebraic Geometry (Papers Presented at the Bombey Colloquium, 1968)*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1969, pp. 193–199.

[8] P. Hriljac, Heights and Arakelov's intersection theory, *Amer. J. Math.* **107** (1985), 23–38.

[9] K. Künnemann, Some remarks on the arithmetic Hodge index theorem, *Compositio Math.* **99** (1995), 109–128.

[10] A. Moriwaki, Hodge index theorem for arithmetic cycles of codimension one, *Math. Res. Lett.* **3** (1996), 173–183.

[11] Y. Takeda, Arithmetic analogues of standard conjectures for quadric hypersurfaces, preprint.

[12] S. Zhang, Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1995), 187–221.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI-SHI, TOKYO 192-03, JAPAN

E-mail address: `takeda@math.metro-u.ac.jp`